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## Introduction

- Facilities Capacity
- Facilities Utilization Analysis
- Educational Programming Needs
- Preliminary Discussion on Alternatives


## Facilities Capacities

- Building administrators provided current room use data
- Marked up floorplans/ room occupancy
- Capacities calculated based on class size guidelines and the number of full-size classrooms available under current programming
- Portables were included in full-size classroom counts, depending on current usage

| Elementary Class Size Guidelines: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}-1$ <br> 18$\frac{2-3}{21}$ | $\frac{4-5}{23}$ |  |  |

## Elementary Capacities

## Region 15 Public Schools: Eementary Facilities Room Inventory

| School | ED050 Classoo m Count | Full-Size Classoom Inventory* | Port ables | Academic Spaces (Full-Size) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Core Spaces |  |  |  |  | Small Rooms |  | Seat <br> Capacity by Classooms** | Curent Enroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PK | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Res- } \\ \text { ource// } \\ \text { SPED } \end{array}$ | Comp. Lab | Other | Gym | Caféteria | Media Center | Music | Art | Res- ource/ SPED | OTP P1 |  |  |
| Gainfield Elementary | 22 | 25 | 5 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 395 | 363 |
| Long Meadow Elementary*** | 27 | 28 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 562 | 512 |
| Middlebury Elementary | 22 | 21 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |  | 393 | 303 |
| Pomperaug Elementary | 24 | 28 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 413 | 347 |
| TOTAL: | 95 | 102 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,764 | 1,525 |

* Includes portables c urrently used for ac ademic space
** Seat c apac ity c alc ulated using BOE class size guidelines, c urrent grade-level and "other" full-size classrooms and a 95\% effic iency fac tor
*** LM ES enrollments and capacity numbers inlude PreK
GESother full-size is the multi-purpose room in north portable
M ESother full-size rooms include OTPTand counselor
PESother full-size rooms include all purpose, and 2 Learning Center


## Middle Capacities

## Region 15 Public Schools: Eementary Facilities Room Inventory

| School | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { ED050 } \\ \text { Classroom } \\ \text { Count } \end{array}$ | Full-Size Classoom Inventory* | Portables | Ac ademic Spaces (Full-Size) |  |  | Core Spaces |  |  |  |  |  | Small Spaces <br> SPED | Seat Capacity by Classooms** | Current Enroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Clasrooms | Resource/ SPED | Computer | Gym | Caféteria | Media Center | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tech } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Music | Art |  |  |  |
| Memorial Middle School | 24 | 25 | 3 | 24 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 563 | 448 |
| Roc hambeau Middle School | 39 | 33 | 4 | 29 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 743 | 494 |
| TOTAL | 63 | 58 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,305 | 942 |

* Inc ludes portables currently used for academic space
** Seat c apac ity calc ulated using curentfull-size ac ademic spaces, 25 student max per class, and a $90 \%$ effic iency factor


## High School Capacity

- Due to nature of high school scheduling and uses, relied on state reported EDO50 capacity

| Pomperaug <br> High | General Classrooms | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total sq ft | 241,748 |
|  | Capacity | 1,450 |

## Elementary Utilization

Elementary Facility Utilization Current and Projected

| School |  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gainfield | Enrollment | 363 | 348 | 350 | 350 | 343 | 340 | 326 | 325 | 321 | 325 | 333 |
|  | Capacity | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 |
|  | Seat Surplus | 32 | 47 | 45 | 45 | 52 | 55 | 69 | 70 | 74 | 71 | 62 |
|  | \% Utilization | 91.9\% | 88.0\% | 88.5\% | 88.5\% | 86.8\% | 86.2\% | 82.6\% | 82.2\% | 81.2\% | 82.1\% | 84.2\% |
| Long Meadow | Enrollment | 455 | 437 | 421 | 401 | 374 | 375 | 371 | 365 | 369 | 371 | 384 |
|  | Capacity | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 | 562 |
|  | Seat Surplus | 107 | 125 | 141 | 161 | 188 | 187 | 191 | 197 | 194 | 191 | 178 |
|  | \% Utilization | 80.9\% | 77.7\% | 74.9\% | 71.3\% | 66.5\% | 66.7\% | 66.0\% | 64.9\% | 65.6\% | 66.0\% | 68.3\% |
| Middlebury | Enrollment | 303 | 293 | 281 | 275 | 274 | 278 | 281 | 284 | 288 | 286 | 288 |
|  | Capacity | 393 | 393 | 393 | 393 | 393 | 393 | 393 | 393 | 393 | 393 | 393 |
|  | Seat Surplus | 90 | 100 | 113 | 118 | 120 | 116 | 112 | 109 | 106 | 107 | 105 |
|  | \% Utilization | 77.0\% | 74.6\% | 71.3\% | 69.9\% | 69.6\% | 70.6\% | 71.4\% | 72.2\% | 73.1\% | 72.8\% | 73.3\% |
| Pomperaug | Enrollment | 347 | 329 | 318 | 305 | 308 | 310 | 314 | 322 | 328 | 333 | 333 |
|  | Capacity | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 | 413 |
|  | Seat Surplus | 66 | 84 | 96 | 108 | 106 | 103 | 99 | 91 | 85 | 80 | 80 |
|  | \% Utilization | 84.0\% | 79.6\% | 76.9\% | 73.8\% | 74.4\% | 75.0\% | 76.1\% | 78.0\% | 79.4\% | 80.6\% | 80.6\% |
| Elementary Total | Enrollment | 1,468 | 1,407 | 1,369 | 1,331 | 1,299 | 1,303 | 1,292 | 1,296 | 1,305 | 1,315 | 1,338 |
|  | Capacity | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 | 1,764 |
|  | Seat Surplus | 296 | 357 | 395 | 433 | 466 | 461 | 472 | 468 | 459 | 449 | 426 |
|  | \% Utilization | 83.2\% | 79.8\% | 77.6\% | 75.4\% | 73.6\% | 73.9\% | 73.3\% | 73.5\% | 74.0\% | 74.6\% | 75.9\% |

## Middle Utilization

| School |  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Memorial | Enrollment | 448 | 426 | 412 | 396 | 382 | 363 | 338 | 325 | 316 | 317 | 301 |
|  | Capacity | 563 | 563 | 563 | 563 | 563 | 563 | 563 | 563 | 563 | 563 | 563 |
|  | Seat Surplus | 115 | 136 | 150 | 167 | 180 | 200 | 225 | 238 | 246 | 246 | 261 |
|  | \% Utilization | 79.6\% | 75.8\% | 73.2\% | 70.4\% | 67.9\% | 64.5\% | 60.0\% | 57.8\% | 56.2\% | 56.3\% | 53.5\% |
| Rochambeau | Enrollment | 494 | 486 | 436 | 425 | 393 | 391 | 393 | 388 | 379 | 361 | 360 |
|  | Capacity | 743 | 743 | 743 | 743 | 743 | 743 | 743 | 743 | 743 | 743 | 743 |
|  | Seat Surplus | 249 | 256 | 306 | 317 | 349 | 352 | 350 | 355 | 364 | 382 | 383 |
|  | \% Utilization | 66.5\% | 65.5\% | 58.7\% | 57.3\% | 52.9\% | 52.6\% | 52.9\% | 52.2\% | 51.0\% | 48.6\% | 48.4\% |
| Middle Total | Enrollment | 942 | 912 | 848 | 821 | 775 | 753 | 731 | 712 | 695 | 677 | 661 |
|  | Capacity | 1,305 | 1,305 | 1,305 | 1,305 | 1,305 | 1,305 | 1,305 | 1,305 | 1,305 | 1,305 | 1,305 |
|  | Seat Surplus | 363 | 393 | 457 | 484 | 530 | 552 | 574 | 593 | 610 | 628 | 644 |
|  | \% Utilization | 72.2\% | 69.9\% | 65.0\% | 62.9\% | 59.4\% | 57.7\% | 56.0\% | 54.6\% | 53.2\% | 51.9\% | 50.6\% |

## High Utilization

High School Facility Utilization Current and Projected

| School |  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pomperaug High | Enrollment | 1,235 | 1,190 | 1,197 | 1,151 | 1,104 | 1,056 | 994 | 954 | 915 | 889 | 857 |
|  | Capacity (EDO50) | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 |
|  | Seat Surplus | 215 | 260 | 253 | 299 | 346 | 394 | 456 | 496 | 535 | 561 | 593 |
|  | \% Utilization | 85.2\% | 82.1\% | 82.6\% | 79.4\% | 76.1\% | 72.8\% | 68.6\% | 65.8\% | 63.1\% | 61.3\% | 59.1\% |

## Educational Programming

- Interviews with all principals and Assistant Superintendent
- Discussed future programming needs
- Ensure adequate space is available to implement district pedagogical plans and objectives


## Educational Programming

Elementary Objectives

- Increase digital learning space; i.e. media center - may be opportunity to repurpose computer labs
- Reduce use of portables due to security concerns and high maintenance costs
- Consider second location for PreK program, as it continues to grow
- Provide more quasi-instructional space for intervention service delivery - rely less on shared instructional space
- Provide more specialized instructional areas, as becomes necessary


## Educational Programming

Elementary Objectives continued

- Maintain present class sizes as they are optimal for current educational model - constructivist instruction
- Maintain full-day K
- Maintain learning intervention program


## Educational Programming

## Middle School Objectives

- Reduce reliance on portables for security and maintenance concerns
- Provide more specialized instructional spaces, as becomes necessary
- Transition media centers to digital learning centers
- Maintain learning intervention program


## Educational Programming

## High School Objectives

- Provide increased distance learning opportunities
- Increase digital learning assets
- Build on success of digital academy by developing further blended/ interdisciplinary courses
- Maintain current optimal class sizes
- Strengthen learning intervention program at high school level
- Consider relocating alternative education program to PHS to provide richer educational experience to alternative education population


## Preliminary Discussion

- Break up into groups of 3-4
- Discuss alternative options from an educational, community and logistical perspective
- Generate your own alternatives, which may or may not include:
- Grade reconfigurations
- Facilities changes - removal of portables, consolidation, etc.
- Other
- One reporter from each group will provide a summary to the whole


## Preliminary Discussion

|  |  | Altemative 1 | Altemative 2 | Altemative 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational | Advantages |  |  |  |
|  | Disadvantages |  |  |  |
| Community | Advantages |  |  |  |
|  | Disadvantages |  |  |  |
| Logistics | Advantages |  |  |  |
|  | Disadvantages |  |  |  |

## Next Steps

- Begin analyzing alternatives for the future:
- Feasibility of implementation
- Impacts to education, community and operations
- Review initial findings with Committee in order to revise, or generate new options

